

FY 2003 EQIP APPLICATION EVALUATION NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT CONCERNS		
County:	Date:	
Name:	Tract #:	
HU Code:	Farm #:	
Cost-Share/Incentive Amount:	Environmental Score:	
Completed By:	Checked By:	
<b>Note: Only one point choice for each criteria. Points are not to be added up within each criteria.</b>		
<b>LOCATION CRITERIA</b>		<b>Points Given</b>
<i>TMDL Watershed Implementation Priority (use supplied DEQ TMDL List)</i>	Max. 30 Pts.	
Watershed has completed TMDL Implementation Plan (IP)	30	
Watershed TMDL plan is scheduled to be completed by 2004	20	
Watershed TMDL plan is scheduled to be completed <b>after</b> 2004	10	
Watershed is not scheduled for a TMDL Implementation Plan (NA)	0	
<i>Rank of Hydrologic Unit from DCR NPS assessment for Agriculture (AG) Nitrogen, Phosphorus (Use supplied Nonpoint Source Assessment and Prioritization Ranking Table A-2)</i>	Max. 20 Pts.	
Site is within a Hydrologic Unit that is ranked High for all of the following Agriculture loadings: Agriculture_Nitrogen (AG_N), and Agriculture_Phosphorus (AG_P)	20	
Site is within a Hydrologic Unit that is ranked High for one of the following Agriculture Loadings: Agriculture_Nitrogen (AG_N), or Agriculture_Phosphorus (AG_P)	15	
Site is within a Hydrologic Unit that is ranked Medium for one of the following Agriculture Loading: Agriculture_Nitrogen (AG_N), or Agriculture_Phosphorus (AG_P)	10	
Site is ranked low for Agriculture Nitrogen, and Phosphorus	0	
<b>SITE SPECIFIC INFORMATION</b>		
<i>Is the site being considered for accelerated treatment to comply with any state or local program, regulation, or law such as the Virginia Agricultural Stewardship Act</i>	Max. 5 Pts.	
Yes	5	
No	0	
<i>Predominant leaching index for treatment area using the 3 predominant soil types</i>	Max. 30 Pts.	
High Leaching Index	30	
Medium Leaching Index	20	
Low Leaching Index	0	
<i>Current Nutrient Management Plan</i>	Max. 50 Pts.	
Operation currently has no nutrient management plan	50	
Operation must go to a phosphorus based nutrient management plan	40	
Operation needs a revision to the current nutrient management plan (plan is at least 3 years old or operation has changed.)	20	
Operation has a current nutrient management plan	0	
<i>Closest distance to a waterbody, stream, active sinkhole or shallow water table soils</i>	Max. 50 Pts.	
Less than 100 ft.	50	
100 to 300 feet	40	
300-500 feet	10	
Greater than 500 feet	0	
<b>SCORE PAGE 1</b>		

FY 2003 EQIP APPLICATION EVALUATION		
NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT CONCERNS		
		Points Given
Weighted Erodibility Index (EI) for treatment area (Use USLE & three predominant soils)	Max. 40 Pts.	
EI > 15	40	
EI 8 to 15	20	
EI <8	0	
Farming System	Max. 30 Pts.	
Row Crops greater than 30%	30	
Grass Based System	10	
Average Soil Loss Rate(Use weighted average up to 3 predominate soils on treated area)	Max.20 Pts.	
Greater than "T"	20	
"T" or Less	0	
Cover Crops will be used to trap nutrients on atleast - 50% of crop land	Max 30 Pts.	
Yes	30	
No	0	
Nutrient Management Plan Application as a result of EQIP contract	Max. 30 Pts.	
on 100% of land receiving nutrients	30	
on 50% to 99% of land receiving nutrients	15	
on Less than 50% of land receiving nutrients	0	
SCORE PAGE 2		
SCORE FROM PAGE 1		
TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SCORE (335 Points Maximum Score)		